Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00

Chiral symmetry on the lattice

## Wilson Fermions and Chiral Symmetry

#### José Javier Hernández Hernández

#### Journal Club - Lattice QCD Based on sections 5.2, 7.1-3 of Gattringer and Lang

3rd December 2021



Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
Outline			





- 3 Brief summary of chiral symmetry
- 4 Chiral symmetry on the lattice

Naive fermion discretisation ●0000	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice

Naive fermion discretisation ••••••
Naive fermion discretisation ••••••
Naive fermion discretisation

The continuum (euclidean) action for a free fermion is:

Naive fermion discretisation •••••••
Naive fermion discretisation
Why Wilson fermions?
Brief summary of chiral symmetry
OChiral SYMETRY
OCHIRAL SYMMETRY
OCHIRAL SYMETRY
OCHIRAL SYMMETRY
OCHIRAL SYMETRY
OCHIRAL SYMETRY
OCHIRAL SYMMETRY
OCHIRAL SYMETRY
OCHIRAL SYMMETRY
OCHIRAL SYMETRY
OCHIRAL SYMET

The continuum (euclidean) action for a free fermion is:

$$S^c_{free} = \int d^4x \ \overline{\psi}(x) \left( \partial \!\!\!/ + m \right) \psi(x).$$

Naive fermion discretisation ••••••
Why Wilson fermions? Brief summary of chiral symmetry ••••••
Naive fermion discretisation

The continuum (euclidean) action for a free fermion is:

$$S^{c}_{free} = \int d^{4}x \ \overline{\psi}(x) \left( \partial \!\!\!/ + m \right) \psi(x).$$

Introducing a lattice  $\Lambda$ , the fermionic fields now live in the lattice points n = x/a;  $\psi(n), \overline{\psi}(n)$ . We make the following replacements: The continuum (euclidean) action for a free fermion is:

$$S^{c}_{free} = \int d^{4}x \ \overline{\psi}(x) \left( \partial \!\!\!/ + m \right) \psi(x).$$

Introducing a lattice  $\Lambda,$  the fermionic fields now live in the lattice points n=x/a;  $\psi(n),\overline{\psi}(n).$ 

We make the following replacements:

$$\int d^4x \longrightarrow a^4 \sum$$

The continuum (euclidean) action for a free fermion is:

$$S^{c}_{free} = \int d^{4}x \ \overline{\psi}(x) \left( \partial \!\!\!/ + m \right) \psi(x).$$

Introducing a lattice  $\Lambda$ , the fermionic fields now live in the lattice points n = x/a;  $\psi(n), \overline{\psi}(n)$ .

We make the following replacements:

$$\int d^4x \longrightarrow a^4 \sum_{\substack{\lambda = 0 \\ \partial_\mu \psi(x) \longrightarrow \frac{\psi(n+\hat{\mu}) - \psi(n-\hat{\mu})}{2a}}}$$

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00

Chiral symmetry on the lattice

## Introducing the link variables

No gauge invariance:

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry on the lattice

.

## Introducing the link variables

No gauge invariance:

$$S_{free}^{L} = a^{4} \sum_{n \in \Lambda} \overline{\psi}(n) \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} \gamma_{\mu} \frac{\psi(n+\hat{\mu}) - \psi(n-\hat{\mu})}{2a} + m\psi(n) \right)$$

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry on the lattice

•

## Introducing the link variables

No gauge invariance:

$$S_{free}^{L} = a^{4} \sum_{n \in \Lambda} \overline{\psi}(n) \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} \gamma_{\mu} \frac{\psi(n+\hat{\mu}) - \psi(n-\hat{\mu})}{2a} + m\psi(n) \right)$$

We can reestablish it using the so-called link variables.

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry on the lattice

•

## Introducing the link variables

No gauge invariance:

$$S_{free}^{L} = a^{4} \sum_{n \in \Lambda} \overline{\psi}(n) \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} \gamma_{\mu} \frac{\psi(n+\hat{\mu}) - \psi(n-\hat{\mu})}{2a} + m\psi(n) \right)$$

We can reestablish it using the so-called link variables.

$$U'_{\mu}(n) = \Omega(n)U_{\mu}(n)\Omega(n+\hat{\mu})^{\dagger}$$
, with  $U, \Omega \in SU(3)$ .
$$U_{-\mu} \equiv U_{\mu}(n-\hat{\mu})^{\dagger}.$$

Naive fermion discretisationWhy Wilson fermions?Brie0000000000000000

Brief summary of chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry on the lattice 0000000

## Naive fermion action

$$S_F = a^4 \sum_{n \in \Lambda} \overline{\psi}(n) \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^4 \gamma_\mu \frac{U_\mu \psi(n+\hat{\mu}) - U_{-\mu} \psi(n-\hat{\mu})}{2a} + m \psi(n) \right).$$

Naive fermion discretisation Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00000

$$S_F = a^4 \sum_{n \in \Lambda} \overline{\psi}(n) \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^4 \gamma_\mu \frac{U_\mu \psi(n+\hat{\mu}) - U_{-\mu} \psi(n-\hat{\mu})}{2a} + m \psi(n) \right).$$

Notice how in going from the continuum to the lattice:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A_{\mu}(x) & \longrightarrow & U_{\mu}(n), \\ \mathfrak{su}(3) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{SU}(3). \end{array}$$

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
Motivation			

• Everything seems fine, but it actually is not.

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
Motivation			

- Everything seems fine, but it actually is not.
- We have introduced (without noticing) new particles in our theory.

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
Motivation			

- Everything seems fine, but it actually is not.
- We have introduced (without noticing) new particles in our theory.
- These particles can be removed, but in doing so we will generate another problem (explicit chiral symmetry breaking).

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
Motivation			

- Everything seems fine, but it actually is not.
- We have introduced (without noticing) new particles in our theory.
- These particles can be removed, but in doing so we will generate another problem (explicit chiral symmetry breaking).

We will show how we can remove these new particles and how exactly this affects the chiral symmetry (CS) of the massless action.

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
Motivation			

- Everything seems fine, but it actually is not.
- We have introduced (without noticing) new particles in our theory.
- These particles can be removed, but in doing so we will generate another problem (explicit chiral symmetry breaking).

We will show how we can remove these new particles and how exactly this affects the chiral symmetry (CS) of the massless action. Also, some useful information regarding the introduction of the CS on the lattice (for the massless action) will be discussed.

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice

## Why Wilson fermions?

 Naive fermion discretisation
 Why Wilson fermions?
 Brief summary of chiral symmetry
 Chiral symmetry on the lattice occord

 Understanding the (naive)
 Dirac operator I

We can write our naive discretised action as a quadratic form:

$$S_F = a^4 \sum_{n,m\in\Lambda} \sum_{a,b,\alpha,\beta} \overline{\psi}(n)^a_{\alpha} D(n|m)^{ab}_{\alpha\beta} \psi(m)^b_{\beta} \ , \ \text{where}$$

Naive fermion discretisation Why Wilson fermions? Brief summary of chiral symmetry Ochiral symmetry on the lattice ocoooco

## Understanding the (naive) Dirac operator I

#### We can write our naive discretised action as a quadratic form:

$$\begin{split} S_F &= a^4 \sum_{n,m\in\Lambda} \sum_{a,b,\alpha,\beta} \overline{\psi}(n)^a_{\alpha} D(n|m)^{ab}_{\alpha\beta} \psi(m)^b_{\beta} \ , \ \text{where} \\ D(n|m)^{ab}_{\alpha\beta} &= \sum_{\mu=1}^4 (\gamma_{\mu})_{\alpha\beta} \frac{U^{ab}_{\mu}(n)\delta_{n+\hat{\mu},m} - U^{ab}_{-\mu}(n)\delta_{n-\hat{\mu},m}}{2a} \\ &\quad + m\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{ab}\delta_{n,m}. \end{split}$$

 Naive fermion discretisation
 Why Wilson fermions?
 Brief summary of chiral symmetry
 Chiral symmetry on the lattice

 Understanding the (naive)
 Dirac operator II

Let's Fourier transform D(n|m) for the case of free lattice fermions and obtain the propagator in momentum space:

$$\tilde{D}(p|q) = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{n,m\in\Lambda} \exp\left(-ip \cdot na\right) D(n|m) \exp\left(+iq \cdot ma\right)$$
$$= \delta(p-q) \left(m\mathbb{1} + \frac{i}{a} \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} \gamma_{\mu} \sin\left(p_{\mu}a\right)\right)$$
$$= \delta(p-q)\tilde{D}(p)$$

(Complex conjugate  $\rightarrow$  unitary similarity transformation.)

We can now invert our momentum operator to obtain the propagator using a simple result for the inverse of linear combinations of gamma matrices.

We can now invert our momentum operator to obtain the propagator using a simple result for the inverse of linear combinations of gamma matrices.

Thus, 
$$\tilde{D}(p)^{-1}$$
 is:  

$$\tilde{D}(p)^{-1} = \frac{m\mathbb{1} - ia^{-1}\sum_{\mu}\gamma_{\mu}\sin(p_{\mu}a)}{m^2 + a^{-2}\sum_{\mu}\sin^2(p_{\mu}a)}$$

Naive fermion discretisation why Wilson fermions? Brief summary of chiral symmetry Octored Sectored Se

We can now invert our momentum operator to obtain the propagator using a simple result for the inverse of linear combinations of gamma matrices.

Thus, 
$$\tilde{D}(p)^{-1}$$
 is:  

$$\tilde{D}(p)^{-1} = \frac{m\mathbb{1} - ia^{-1}\sum_{\mu}\gamma_{\mu}\sin(p_{\mu}a)}{m^2 + a^{-2}\sum_{\mu}\sin^2(p_{\mu}a)}$$

Indeed  $\tilde{D}(p)\tilde{D}(p)^{-1} = \mathbb{1}$  !

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
$2^d - 1$ extra for	ermions		

Wick's theorem shows the importance of the propagator.

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
$2^d - 1$ extra for	ermions		

Wick's theorem shows the importance of the propagator. Hence, it is vital to check that the lattice version is free of problems.



Wick's theorem shows the importance of the propagator. Hence, it is vital to check that the lattice version is free of problems.

Does the  $a \rightarrow 0$  match the continuum result?



Wick's theorem shows the importance of the propagator. Hence, it is vital to check that the lattice version is free of problems.

Does the  $a \rightarrow 0$  match the continuum result?

$$\tilde{D}(p)^{-1}|_{m=0} = \frac{-ia^{-1}\sum_{\mu}\gamma_{\mu}\sin(p_{\mu}a)}{a^{-2}\sum_{\mu}\sin^{2}(p_{\mu}a)} \xrightarrow{a \to 0} -i\frac{\sum_{\mu}\gamma_{\mu}p_{\mu}}{p^{2}}$$

Naive fermion discretisation why Wilson fermions? Brief summary of chiral symmetry on the lattice occorrectly the symmetry of the lattice occorrectly occorrectly

Wick's theorem shows the importance of the propagator. Hence, it is vital to check that the lattice version is free of problems.

Does the  $a \rightarrow 0$  match the continuum result?

$$\tilde{D}(p)^{-1}|_{m=0} = \frac{-ia^{-1}\sum_{\mu}\gamma_{\mu}\sin(p_{\mu}a)}{a^{-2}\sum_{\mu}\sin^{2}(p_{\mu}a)} \xrightarrow{a \to 0} -i\frac{\sum_{\mu}\gamma_{\mu}p_{\mu}}{p^{2}}$$

We have the continuum pole p = (0, 0, 0, 0) !

Naive fermion discretisation why Wilson fermions? Brief summary of chiral symmetry of chiral symmetry on the lattice  $2^d - 1$  extra fermions

Wick's theorem shows the importance of the propagator. Hence, it is vital to check that the lattice version is free of problems.

Does the  $a \rightarrow 0$  match the continuum result?

$$\tilde{D}(p)^{-1}|_{m=0} = \frac{-ia^{-1}\sum_{\mu}\gamma_{\mu}\sin(p_{\mu}a)}{a^{-2}\sum_{\mu}\sin^{2}(p_{\mu}a)} \xrightarrow{a \to 0} -i\frac{\sum_{\mu}\gamma_{\mu}p_{\mu}}{p^{2}}$$

We have the continuum pole p = (0, 0, 0, 0) ! But the lattice propagator has 15 extra poles (in d = 4)..., the so-called doublers.

For all combinations of 
$$p_{\mu}=0, \frac{\pi}{a}$$
 we also have poles!

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
Wilson fermio	ns I		

Wilson proposed the following solution: just add a new term to  $\tilde{D}(p) \mathrm{:}$ 

$$\tilde{D}(p)_{Wilson} = \tilde{D}(p)_{naive} + \mathbb{1}a^{-1}\sum_{\mu=1}^{4} (1 - \cos(p_{\mu}a)).$$

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
Wilson fermio	ns l		

Wilson proposed the following solution: just add a new term to  $\tilde{D}(p){:}$ 

$$\tilde{D}(p)_{Wilson} = \tilde{D}(p)_{naive} + \mathbb{1}a^{-1}\sum_{\mu=1}^{4} (1 - \cos(p_{\mu}a)).$$

- 3 important properties:
  - In the continuum limit, it vanishes.

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
Wilson fermio	ns I		

Wilson proposed the following solution: just add a new term to  $\tilde{D}(p){:}$ 

$$\tilde{D}(p)_{Wilson} = \tilde{D}(p)_{naive} + \mathbb{1}a^{-1}\sum_{\mu=1}^{4} (1 - \cos(p_{\mu}a)).$$

- 3 important properties:
  - In the continuum limit, it vanishes.
  - It leaves the physical pole untouched.

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions? ○○○○○●○○	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
Wilson fermio	nsl		

Wilson proposed the following solution: just add a new term to  $\tilde{\cal D}(p)$ :

$$\tilde{D}(p)_{Wilson} = \tilde{D}(p)_{naive} + \mathbb{1}a^{-1}\sum_{\mu=1}^{4} (1 - \cos(p_{\mu}a)).$$

- 3 important properties:
  - In the continuum limit, it vanishes.
  - It leaves the physical pole untouched.
  - Removes all the poles with  $p_{\mu} = \pi/a!$

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
Wilson fermio	ns II		

Now we can undo the Fourier transform on the Wilson term and get our improved operator. It can be written in a compact notation as:

$$D^{(f)}(n|m)_{Wilson} = \left(m^{(f)} + \frac{4}{a}\right)\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{ab}\delta_{n,m} - \frac{1}{2a}\sum_{\mu=\pm 1}^{\pm 4}(\mathbb{1} - \gamma_{\mu})_{\alpha\beta}U^{ab}_{\mu}(n)\delta_{n+\hat{\mu},m},$$

where

$$\gamma_{\mu} = -\gamma_{-\mu}.$$

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
A new probler	n appears		

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions? 0000000●	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
A new probler	n appears		

We have showed how to solve the doubling problem. But we have created another one...

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
A new problem	n appears		

But we have created another one...

The (massless) continuum Dirac operator fulfills the following relation:

 $\gamma_5 D + D\gamma_5 = 0.$ 

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
A new probler	n appears		

But we have created another one...

The (massless) continuum Dirac operator fulfills the following relation:

$$\gamma_5 D + D\gamma_5 = 0.$$

And so does the naive (massless) version. What about the Wilson term?

. . .

But we have created another one...

The (massless) continuum Dirac operator fulfills the following relation:

$$\gamma_5 D + D\gamma_5 = 0.$$

And so does the naive (massless) version. What about the Wilson term?

. . .

It does not!  $\implies$  the 1 is the culprit (mass term).

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry ●○	Chiral symmetry on the lattice

## Brief summary of chiral symmetry

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry  $\circ \bullet$ 

Chiral symmetry on the lattice

## Brief summary of chiral symmetry

In the continuum, the massless action for  $N_f$  flavours is invariant under chiral rotations.

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry  $\circ \bullet$ 

Chiral symmetry on the lattice

## Brief summary of chiral symmetry

In the continuum, the massless action for  $N_f$  flavours is invariant under chiral rotations. In particular, under

 $SU(N_f)_V \times SU(N_f)_A \times U(1)_V.$ 

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry  $\circ \bullet$ 

Chiral symmetry on the lattice

## Brief summary of chiral symmetry

In the continuum, the massless action for  $N_f$  flavours is invariant under chiral rotations. In particular, under

 $SU(N_f)_V \times SU(N_f)_A \times U(1)_V.$ 

Introducing degenerate masses breaks this into:

 $SU(N_f)_V \times U(1)_V.$ 

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry  $\circ \bullet$ 

Chiral symmetry on the lattice

## Brief summary of chiral symmetry

In the continuum, the massless action for  $N_f$  flavours is invariant under chiral rotations. In particular, under

 $SU(N_f)_V \times SU(N_f)_A \times U(1)_V.$ 

Introducing degenerate masses breaks this into:

 $SU(N_f)_V \times U(1)_V.$ 

And for non-degenerate masses:

 $U(1)_V \times U(1)_V \times \ldots \times U(1)_V \ (N_f \text{ times}).$ 

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry  $\circ \bullet$ 

Chiral symmetry on the lattice

## Brief summary of chiral symmetry

In the continuum, the massless action for  $N_f$  flavours is invariant under chiral rotations. In particular, under

 $SU(N_f)_V \times SU(N_f)_A \times U(1)_V.$ 

Introducing degenerate masses breaks this into:

 $SU(N_f)_V \times U(1)_V.$ 

And for non-degenerate masses:

 $U(1)_V \times U(1)_V \times \ldots \times U(1)_V$  (N<sub>f</sub> times).

 $U(1)_A$  symmetry of the massless action is *anomalous*. The fermion-integration measure is not invariant.

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice •000000

## Chiral symmetry on the lattice

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry on the lattice 0●00000

# The Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem and the Ginsparg-Wilson equation

The explicit breaking of chiral symmetry due to the Wilson term is not immediate to fix.

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry on the lattice 0 = 000000

# The Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem and the Ginsparg-Wilson equation

The explicit breaking of chiral symmetry due to the Wilson term is not immediate to fix. Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem  $\Longrightarrow \nexists$  theory:  $\gamma_5 D + D\gamma_5 = 0 \land$  free of doublers.

Chiral symmetry on the lattice

## The Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem and the Ginsparg-Wilson equation

The explicit breaking of chiral symmetry due to the Wilson term is not immediate to fix. Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem  $\Longrightarrow \nexists$  theory:  $\gamma_5 D + D\gamma_5 = 0 \land$  free of doublers.

Ginsparg and Wilson came up with a solution:

 $\gamma_5 D + D\gamma_5 = aD\gamma_5 D \neq 0.$ 

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry on the lattice 0000000

#### Introducing chiral symmetry on the lattice I

Let's assume D fulfills the Ginsparg-Wilson equation. A possible chiral rotation on the lattice can be:

$$\psi' = e^{i\alpha\gamma_5(\mathbb{1} - aD/2)}\psi$$

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry on the lattice 0000000

#### Introducing chiral symmetry on the lattice I

Let's assume D fulfills the Ginsparg-Wilson equation. A possible chiral rotation on the lattice can be:

$$\psi' = e^{i\alpha\gamma_5(\mathbb{1} - aD/2)}\psi$$

It is easy to see that L (for massless fermions) is invariant under this.

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry on the lattice

#### Introducing chiral symmetry on the lattice I

Let's assume D fulfills the Ginsparg-Wilson equation. A possible chiral rotation on the lattice can be:

$$\psi' = e^{i\alpha\gamma_5(\mathbb{1} - aD/2)}\psi$$

It is easy to see that L (for massless fermions) is invariant under this.

We can write L in terms of  $\psi_{L,R}.$  The resulting action is decomposed in L,R parts.

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry on the lattice

#### Introducing chiral symmetry on the lattice I

Let's assume D fulfills the Ginsparg-Wilson equation. A possible chiral rotation on the lattice can be:

$$\psi' = e^{i\alpha\gamma_5(\mathbb{1} - aD/2)}\psi$$

It is easy to see that L (for massless fermions) is invariant under this.

We can write L in terms of  $\psi_{L,R}.$  The resulting action is decomposed in L,R parts.

To do that, we also need to define:

$$\hat{P}_L = \frac{\mathbb{1} - \hat{\gamma}_5}{2}, \ \hat{P}_R = \frac{\mathbb{1} + \hat{\gamma}_5}{2}, \ \hat{\gamma}_5 = \gamma_5 (\mathbb{1} - aD).$$

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry on the lattice 0000000

#### Introducing chiral symmetry on the lattice II

The mass term can then be identified in the latttice with:

$$m\left(\overline{\psi}_R\psi_L + \overline{\psi}_L\psi_R\right) = m\overline{\psi}\left(P_L\hat{P}_L + P_R\hat{P}_R\right) = m\overline{\psi}\left(\mathbb{1} - \frac{a}{2}D\right)\psi$$

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry on the lattice 0000000

### Introducing chiral symmetry on the lattice II

The mass term can then be identified in the latttice with:

$$m\left(\overline{\psi}_R\psi_L + \overline{\psi}_L\psi_R\right) = m\overline{\psi}\left(P_L\hat{P}_L + P_R\hat{P}_R\right) = m\overline{\psi}\left(\mathbb{1} - \frac{a}{2}D\right)\psi$$

And hence, we have a new kind of operator that implements on the lattice the fact that

$$D_m = D + m\left(\mathbbm{1} - \frac{a}{2}D\right) = \omega D + m\mathbbm{1}, \ {\rm with}$$
  $\omega \equiv 1 - \frac{am}{2}.$ 

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry on the lattice

### Introducing chiral symmetry on the lattice II

The mass term can then be identified in the latttice with:

$$m\left(\overline{\psi}_R\psi_L + \overline{\psi}_L\psi_R\right) = m\overline{\psi}\left(P_L\hat{P}_L + P_R\hat{P}_R\right) = m\overline{\psi}\left(\mathbb{1} - \frac{a}{2}D\right)\psi$$

And hence, we have a new kind of operator that implements on the lattice the fact that

$$D_m = D + m\left(\mathbbm{1} - \frac{a}{2}D\right) = \omega D + m\mathbbm{1}, \mbox{ with}$$
  
 $\omega \equiv 1 - \frac{am}{2}.$ 

These are the Ginsparg-Wilson fermions. Notice how in the lattice, the chirality of the fermions depends both on the gauge fields as well as on the neighbouring lattice sites (non-local).

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry on the lattice 0000000

#### The axial anomaly on the lattice

Let's do an infinitesimal chiral rotation  $(M = 1, T_i \in SU(N_f))$ :

$$\psi' = \left(\mathbb{1} + i\epsilon M\gamma_5\left(\mathbb{1} - \frac{a}{2}D\right)\right)\psi.$$

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry on the lattice 0000000

#### The axial anomaly on the lattice

Let's do an infinitesimal chiral rotation  $(M = 1, T_i \in SU(N_f))$ :

$$\psi' = \left(\mathbb{1} + i\epsilon M\gamma_5\left(\mathbb{1} - \frac{a}{2}D\right)\right)\psi.$$

The measure transforms as:

$$\mathcal{D}[\psi,\overline{\psi}] = \mathcal{D}[\psi',\overline{\psi'}] \det \left[\mathbb{1} + i\epsilon M\gamma_5 \left(\mathbb{1} - \frac{a}{2}D\right)\right]^2.$$

Why Wilson fermions?

Brief summary of chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry on the lattice

#### The axial anomaly on the lattice

Let's do an infinitesimal chiral rotation  $(M = 1, T_i \in SU(N_f))$ :

$$\psi' = \left(\mathbb{1} + i\epsilon M\gamma_5\left(\mathbb{1} - \frac{a}{2}D\right)\right)\psi.$$

The measure transforms as:

$$\mathcal{D}[\psi,\overline{\psi}] = \mathcal{D}[\psi',\overline{\psi'}] \det \left[\mathbb{1} + i\epsilon M\gamma_5 \left(\mathbb{1} - \frac{a}{2}D\right)\right]^2.$$

For small  $\epsilon$ , and in particular for M = 1:

$$\mathcal{D}[\psi,\overline{\psi}] = \mathcal{D}[\psi',\overline{\psi'}] \left(1 - 2i\epsilon N_f Q_{\mathsf{top}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)\right).$$

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice
Conclusions			

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice 00000●0
Conclusions			

• why the naive discretisation fails.

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice $0000000$
Conclusions			

- why the naive discretisation fails.
- how Wilson fermions solve the doubling problem.

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice $0000000$
Conclusions			

- why the naive discretisation fails.
- how Wilson fermions solve the doubling problem.
- that the Wilson fermions explicitly break chiral symmetry (even for the massless case).

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice $0000000$
Conclusions			

- why the naive discretisation fails.
- how Wilson fermions solve the doubling problem.
- that the Wilson fermions explicitly break chiral symmetry (even for the massless case).
- how to introduce the chiral symmetry on the lattice respecting the continuum results.

Naive fermion discretisation	Why Wilson fermions?	Brief summary of chiral symmetry 00	Chiral symmetry on the lattice

## Thank you for your attention!