Chiral perturbation theory

Gilberto Colangelo

 $u^{\scriptscriptstyle b}$

⊳ UNIVERSITÄT BERN

Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics

STRONGnet summer school, ZIF Bielefeld 14–25 June 2011

Lecture III: applications in phenomenology and lattice

 $\pi\pi$ scattering beyond LO Experimental tests

The σ resonance

Finite volume effects

Asymptotic formulae Resummation of higher exponentials The pion mass to two loops

Summary

$\pi\pi$ scattering at NLO

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^0 &= \frac{7M_\pi^2}{32\pi F_\pi^2} \left[1 + \frac{M_\pi^2}{3} \langle r^2 \rangle_S^\pi + \frac{200\pi F_\pi^2 M_\pi^2}{7} (a_2^0 + 2a_2^2) \right. \\ &- \left. \frac{M_\pi^2}{672\pi^2 F_\pi^2} (15\bar{\ell}_3 - 353) \right] = 0.16 \cdot 1.25 = 0.20 \\ 2a_0^0 - 5a_0^2 &= \left. \frac{3M_\pi^2}{4\pi F_\pi^2} \left[1 + \frac{M_\pi^2}{3} \langle r^2 \rangle_S^\pi + \frac{41M_\pi^2}{192\pi^2 F_\pi^2} \right] = 0.624 \end{aligned}$$

Gasser and Leutwyler (83)

Higher orders

Higher order corrections are suppressed by $O(m_q^2/\Lambda^2)$ $\Lambda \sim 1 \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow \text{expected to be a few percent}$

$$a_0^0 = 0.200 + \mathcal{O}(p^6)$$
 $a_0^2 = -0.0445 + \mathcal{O}(p^6)$

Gasser and Leutwyler (84)

Higher orders

Higher order corrections are suppressed by $O(m_q^2/\Lambda^2)$ $\Lambda \sim 1 \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow \text{expected to be a few percent}$

$$a_0^0 = 0.200 + \mathcal{O}(p^6)$$
 $a_0^2 = -0.0445 + \mathcal{O}(p^6)$

The reason for the rather large correction in a_0^0 is a chiral log

$$a_0^0 = \frac{7M_\pi^2}{32\pi F_\pi^2} \left[1 + \frac{9}{2}\ell_{\chi} + \dots \right] \qquad a_0^2 = -\frac{M_\pi^2}{16\pi F_\pi^2} \left[1 - \frac{3}{2}\ell_{\chi} + \dots \right]$$
$$\ell_{\chi} = \frac{M_\pi^2}{16\pi^2 F_\pi^2} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{M_\pi^2}$$

Gasser and Leutwyler (84)

Higher orders



Higher orders



Higher orders

Higher order corrections are suppressed by $O(m_q^2/\Lambda^2)$ $\Lambda \sim 1 \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow \text{expected to be a few percent}$

$$a_0^0 = 0.200 + \mathcal{O}(p^6)$$
 $a_0^2 = -0.0445 + \mathcal{O}(p^6)$

The reason for the rather large correction in a_0^0 is a chiral log

$$a_0^0 = \frac{7M_\pi^2}{32\pi F_\pi^2} \left[1 + \frac{9}{2}\ell_{\chi} + \dots \right] \qquad a_0^2 = -\frac{M_\pi^2}{16\pi F_\pi^2} \left[1 - \frac{3}{2}\ell_{\chi} + \dots \right]$$
$$\ell_{\chi} = \frac{M_\pi^2}{16\pi^2 F_\pi^2} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{M_\pi^2}$$

Gasser and Leutwyler (84)

How large are yet higher orders? Is it at all possible to make a precise prediction?

Unitarity effects can be calculated exactly using dispersive methods

Unitarity effects can be calculated exactly using dispersive methods

Unitarity, analyticity and crossing symmetry \equiv Roy equations

Unitarity effects can be calculated exactly using dispersive methods

Unitarity, analyticity and crossing symmetry \equiv Roy equations

Input: imaginary parts above 0.8 GeV two subtraction constants, e.g. a_0^0 and a_0^2

Unitarity effects can be calculated exactly using dispersive methods

Unitarity, analyticity and crossing symmetry \equiv Roy equations

Input: imaginary parts above 0.8 GeV two subtraction constants, *e.g.* a_0^0 and a_0^2 Output: the full $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude below 0.8 GeV Note: if a_0^0 , a_0^2 are chosen within the universal band the solution exists and is unique

Unitarity effects can be calculated exactly using dispersive methods

Unitarity, analyticity and crossing symmetry \equiv Roy equations

Input: imaginary parts above 0.8 GeV two subtraction constants, e.g. a_0^0 and a_0^2 Output: the full $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude below 0.8 GeV Note: if a_0^0, a_0^2 are chosen within the universal band the solution exists and is unique

Numerical solutions of the Roy equations

Pennington-Protopopescu, Basdevant-Froggatt-Petersen (70s) Ananthanarayan, GC, Gasser and Leutwyler (00) Descotes-Genon, Fuchs, Girlanda and Stern (01)









In CHPT the two subtraction constants are predicted

In CHPT the two subtraction constants are predicted

Subtracting the amplitude at threshold (a_0^0, a_0^2) is not mandatory

In CHPT the two subtraction constants are predicted

Subtracting the amplitude at threshold (a_0^0, a_0^2) is not mandatory

The freedom in the choice of the subtraction point can be exploited to use the chiral expansion where it converges best, *i.e.* below threshold



The convergence of the series at threshold is greatly improved if CHPT is used only below threshold

CHPT at threshold

$$egin{array}{rcl} a_0^0 &=& 0.159
ightarrow \ 0.200
ightarrow \ 0.216 \ 10 \cdot a_0^2 &=& -0.454
ightarrow -0.445
ightarrow -0.445 \ p^2 \ p^4 \ p^6 \end{array}$$

GC, Gasser and Leutwyler (01)

The convergence of the series at threshold is greatly improved if CHPT is used only below threshold

CHPT at threshold

$$egin{array}{rcl} a_0^0 &=& 0.159
ightarrow \ 0.200
ightarrow \ 0.216 \ 10 \cdot a_0^2 &=& -0.454
ightarrow -0.445
ightarrow -0.445 \ p^2 \ p^4 \ p^6 \end{array}$$

CHPT below threshold + Roy

$$egin{array}{rcl} a_0^0 &=& 0.197
ightarrow & 0.2195
ightarrow & 0.220 \ 10 \cdot a_0^2 &=& -0.402
ightarrow -0.446 &
ightarrow -0.444 \end{array}$$

GC, Gasser and Leutwyler (01)

Low-energy theorem for $\pi\pi$ scattering

$$\mathcal{M}(\pi^0\pi^0 o \pi^+\pi^-) \equiv {\it A}({\it s},{\it t},{\it u}) = {\it isospin invariant amplitude}$$

Low energy theorem:
$$A(s,t,u)=rac{s-M^2}{F^2}+\mathcal{O}(p^4)$$
 Weinberg 1966 $M^2=B(m_u+m_d)$ $M^2_{\pi}=M^2+O(m^2_q),\ F_{\pi}=F+O(m_q)$

All physical amplitudes can be expressed in terms of A(s, t, u)

$$T^{I=0} = 3A(s,t,u) + A(t,s,u) + A(u,t,s) \Rightarrow T^{I=0} = \frac{2s - M_{\pi}^2}{F_{\pi}^2}$$

S wave projection (I=0)

$$t_0^0(s) = rac{2s - M_\pi^2}{32\pi F_\pi^2} \qquad a_0^0 = t_0^0(4M_\pi^2) = rac{7M_\pi^2}{32\pi F_\pi^2} = 0.16$$

Low-energy theorem for $\pi\pi$ scattering

$$\mathcal{M}(\pi^0\pi^0 o \pi^+\pi^-) \equiv {\it A}({\it s},{\it t},{\it u}) = {\it isospin invariant amplitude}$$

Low energy theorem:
$$A(s,t,u) = rac{s-M^2}{F^2} + \mathcal{O}(p^4)$$
 Weinberg 1966
 $M^2 = B(m_u + m_d)$ $M_\pi^2 = M^2 + O(m_q^2), \ F_\pi = F + O(m_q)$

All physical amplitudes can be expressed in terms of A(s, t, u)

$$T^{I=2} = A(t, s, u) + A(u, t, s) \Rightarrow T^{I=2} = \frac{-s + 2M_{\pi}^2}{F_{\pi}^2}$$

S wave projection (I=2)

$$t_0^2(s) = rac{2M_\pi^2 - s}{32\pi F_\pi^2}$$
 $a_0^2 = t_0^2(4M_\pi^2) = rac{-M_\pi^2}{16\pi F_\pi^2} = -0.045$

Chiral predictions for a_0^0 and a_0^2

Quark mass dependence of M_{π} and F_{π} :

$$\begin{split} M_{\pi}^{2} &= M^{2}\left(1 - \frac{M^{2}}{32\pi^{2}F^{2}}\bar{\ell}_{3} + O(p^{4})\right) \\ M^{2} &\equiv -\frac{m_{u} + m_{d}}{F^{2}}\langle 0|\bar{q}q|0\rangle \qquad \text{Gell-Mann, O} \\ F_{\pi} &= F\left(1 + \frac{M^{2}}{16\pi^{2}F^{2}}\bar{\ell}_{4} + O(p^{4})\right) \end{split}$$

Gell-Mann, Oakes, Renner (68)

Phenomenological determinations (indirect):

$$ar{\ell}_3 = 2.9 \pm 2.4$$
 Gasser & Leutwyler (84)
 $ar{\ell}_4 = 4.4 \pm 0.2$ GC, Gasser & Leutwyler (01)

Lattice calculations determine these constants directly

Chiral predictions for a_0^0 and a_0^2 χ PT calculations at NLO and at NNLO

(Gasser & Leutwyler 84)

(Bijnens, GC, Ecker, Gasser & Sainio, 95)

Prediction obtained matching $O(p^6) \chi PT$ to Roy equations (disp. relation): GC, Gasser & Leutwyler (01)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_0^0 &=& 0.220 \pm 0.001 + 0.009 \Delta \ell_4 - 0.002 \Delta \ell_3 \\ 10 \cdot a_0^2 &=& -0.444 \pm 0.003 - 0.01 \Delta \ell_4 - 0.004 \Delta \ell_3 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_0^0 &=& 0.220 \pm 0.005 \\ 10 \cdot a_0^2 &=& -0.444 \pm 0.01 \\ a_0^0 - a_0^2 &=& 0.265 \pm 0.004 \end{array}$$

Chiral predictions for a_0^0 and a_0^2







Experimental tests



Recent update: E865 corrected their data



Recent update: E865 corrected their data



isospin breaking corrections recently calculated for K_{e4} are essential at this level of precision GC, Gasser, Rusetsky (09)



isospin breaking corrections recently calculated for K_{e4} are essential at this level of precision GC, Gasser, Rusetsky (09)



Figure from NA48/2 Eur.Phys.J.C64:589,2009

Lattice input for $\bar{\ell}_3$ and $\bar{\ell}_4$


Outline

$\pi\pi$ scattering beyond LO Experimental tests

The σ resonance

Finite volume effects

Asymptotic formulae Resummation of higher exponentials The pion mass to two loops

Summary

The two S-wave scattering lengths are the essential parameters at low energy

For example, their knowledge fixes the σ pole position to a *remarkable* level of precision

$$M_{\sigma} = 441 \stackrel{+16}{_{-8}} \text{MeV}, \ \ \Gamma_{\sigma} = 544 \stackrel{+18}{_{-25}} \text{MeV}$$

I. Caprini, GC, H. Leutwyler, PRL 06

: S. Eidelman et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B 592, 1 (2004) and 2005 partial update for edition 2006 (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov) -



 $I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 0^{+}(0^{+})$

A REVIEW GOES HERE - Check our WWW List of Reviews

f₀(600) T-MATRIX POLE √s

Note that $\Gamma \approx 2 \text{ Im}(\sqrt{s_{\text{pole}}})$.

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID		TEC N	COMMENT
(400-1200)-i(300-500) OUR	ESTIN	AATE			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$(541 \pm 39)-i(252 \pm 42)$ $(528 \pm 32)-i(207 \pm 23)$	1 2	ABLIKIM GALLEGOS	04 A 04	BES2 RVUE	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^-$ Compilation
$(440 \pm 8) - i(212 \pm 15)$ (533 + 25) - i(247 + 25)	3	PELAEZ BUGG	04 A 03	RVUE	$\pi \ \pi \ \rightarrow \ \pi \ \pi$
532 - <i>i</i> 272	5	BLACK	01	RVUE	$\pi^0\pi^0\rightarrow\pi^0\pi^0$
$(470 \pm 30) - i(295 \pm 20)$ (535 + 48) - i(155 + 76)	6	ISHIDA	01 01	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi$ $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon \pi \pi$
$610 \pm 14 - i620 \pm 26$	7	SUROVTSEV	01	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \overline{K}$
$(558 \pm 27) = i(190 \pm 41)$ 445 - i235		HANNAH	00 B 99	RVUE	$pp \rightarrow \pi^{\circ}\pi^{\circ}\pi^{\circ}$ π scalar form factor
$(523 \pm 12) - i(259 \pm 7)$		KAMINSKI	99 00	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \overline{K}, \sigma \sigma$
442 - i 227 469 - i203		OLLER	99 B	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \overline{K}$ $\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \overline{K}$
445 - i221 (1530 + 90)-i(560 ± 40)		OLLER ANISOVICH	99 C 98 B	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, KK, \eta \eta$ Compilation
420 - <i>i</i> 212	8	LOCHER	98	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi K \overline{K}$
$(502 \pm 26) - i(196 \pm 27)$ $(537 \pm 20) - i(250 \pm 17)$	9	ISHIDA KAMINSKI	97 97В	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi$ $\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \overline{K}, 4 \pi$
470 — i250	10,11	TORNQVIST	96	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K\overline{K}, K\pi, \eta \pi$
$\sim (1100 - i300)$ 400 - i500	11,12	AM SLER	95 B 95 D	CBAR	$\overline{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ $\overline{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
1100 - <i>i</i> 137	11,13	AMSLER	95 D	CBAR	$\overline{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
387 - i305 525 - i269	11,14	JAN SSEN ACHASOV	95 94	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, KK$ $\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi$
$(506 \pm 10) - i(247 \pm 3)$	16	KAMINSKI	94 04 P	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \overline{K}$
408 - i342	11,16	ZOU	93 93	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K\overline{K}$ $\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K\overline{K}$
870 - i370 470 - i208	11,17	AU BEVEREN	87 86	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, KK$ $\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K\overline{K}, \eta \eta,$
$(750 \pm 50) - i(450 \pm 50)$ $(650 \pm 100) = i(320 \pm 70)$	19	ESTABROOKS	79 72	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \overline{K}$
650 - i370	20	BASDEVANT	72	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi$



I. Caprini, GC, H. Leutwyler, PRL 06



I. Caprini, GC, H. Leutwyler, PRL 06

The σ in the data – BES (04), $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^-$



The relevant question is:

Where does the amplitude have a pole on the second Riemann sheet of the complex *s* plane?

The answer ought to be model- and parametrizationindependent

What is usually done is instead the following: Fit the data with a parametrization, e.g.

$$f = \frac{G_{\sigma}}{M^2 - s - iM\Gamma_{\text{tot}}(s)}$$

$$\Gamma_{\text{tot}}(s) = g_1 \frac{\rho_{\pi\pi}(s)}{\rho_{\pi\pi}(M^2)} + g_2 \frac{\rho_{4\pi}(s)}{\rho_{4\pi}(M^2)}$$

where $g_{1,2}$ can also be functions of s

What is usually done is instead the following: Fit the data with a parametrization, e.g.

$$f = \frac{G_{\sigma}}{M^2 - s - iM\Gamma_{\text{tot}}(s)}$$

$$\Gamma_{\text{tot}}(s) = g_1 \frac{\rho_{\pi\pi}(s)}{\rho_{\pi\pi}(M^2)} + g_2 \frac{\rho_{4\pi}(s)}{\rho_{4\pi}(M^2)}$$

where $g_{1,2}$ can also be functions of s

The fit to the data determines the σ parameters, *M* and Γ_{tot}

What is usually done is instead the following: Fit the data with a parametrization, e.g.

$$f = \frac{G_{\sigma}}{M^2 - s - iM\Gamma_{\text{tot}}(s)}$$

$$-_{\text{tot}}(s) = g_1 \frac{\rho_{\pi\pi}(s)}{\rho_{\pi\pi}(M^2)} + g_2 \frac{\rho_{4\pi}(s)}{\rho_{4\pi}(M^2)}$$

where $g_{1,2}$ can also be functions of s

The fit to the data determines the σ parameters, *M* and Γ_{tot}

The outcome is parametrization-dependent Moreover, an obvious shortcoming of many of the parametrizations used to fit data is the neglect of the left-hand cut

Compare to the ρ in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$



Double-subtracted, crossing symmetric dispersion relation for t_0^0

$$t_0^0(s) = a + (s - 4M_\pi^2) b + \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\Lambda^2} ds' \left\{ K_0(s, s') \operatorname{Im} t_0^0(s') + K_1(s, s') \operatorname{Im} t_1^1(s') + K_2(s, s') \operatorname{Im} t_0^2(s') \right\} + d_0^0(s)$$
$$a = a_0^0, \quad b = (2a_0^0 - 5a_0^2)/(12M_\pi^2)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_0(s,s') = \frac{1}{\pi(s'-s)} + \frac{2\ln((s+s'-4M_\pi^2)/s')}{3\pi(s-4M_\pi^2)} - \frac{5s'+2s-16M_\pi^2}{3\pi s'(s'-4M_\pi^2)}$$

Double-subtracted, crossing symmetric dispersion relation for t_0^0

$$\begin{aligned} t_0^0(s) &= a + (s - 4M_\pi^2) \, b + \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\Lambda^2} ds' \left\{ K_0(s, s') \, \mathrm{Im} \, t_0^0(s') \right. \\ &+ K_1(s, s') \, \mathrm{Im} \, t_1^1(s') + \, K_2(s, s') \, \mathrm{Im} \, t_0^2(s') \right\} + d_0^0(s) \\ &a &= a_0^0 \,, \quad b = (2 \, a_0^0 - 5 \, a_0^2) / (12M_\pi^2) \end{aligned}$$

This representation allows one to evaluate t_0^0 in the complex plane – in its domain of validity on the first sheet.



Caprini, GC, Leutwyler (05)

Double-subtracted, crossing symmetric dispersion relation for t_0^0

$$\begin{aligned} t_0^0(s) &= a + (s - 4M_\pi^2) \, b + \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\Lambda^2} ds' \left\{ K_0(s, s') \, \mathrm{Im} \, t_0^0(s') \right. \\ &+ K_1(s, s') \, \mathrm{Im} \, t_1^1(s') + \, K_2(s, s') \, \mathrm{Im} \, t_0^2(s') \right\} + d_0^0(s) \\ &a &= a_0^0 \,, \quad b = (2 \, a_0^0 - 5 \, a_0^2) / (12M_\pi^2) \end{aligned}$$

This representation allows one to evaluate t_0^0 in the complex plane – in its domain of validity on the first sheet.

Poles, however, are to be found on the second sheet

$$S_0^0(s) = 1 - 2 \sqrt{rac{4M_\pi^2}{s} - 1} t_0^0(s) \ , \qquad 0 \le s \le 4 M_\pi^2$$

$$S_0^0(s) = 1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{4M_\pi^2}{s} - 1}t_0^0(s) , \qquad 0 \le s \le 4M_\pi^2$$

Unitarity implies that: $S_0^0{}'(s + i\epsilon) = [S_0^0{}'(s - i\epsilon)]^{-1}$

$$S_0^0(s) = 1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{4M_\pi^2}{s} - 1}t_0^0(s) , \qquad 0 \le s \le 4M_\pi^2$$

Unitarity implies that: $S_0^0{}^{\prime}(s + i\epsilon) = [S_0^0{}^{\prime}(s - i\epsilon)]^{-1}$

The second sheet is reached by analytic continuation crossing the real axis from above: (for ϵ infinitesimally small)

$$S_0^{0 \ l'}(s-i\epsilon) = S_0^{0 \ l}(s+i\epsilon) = \left[S_0^{0 \ l}(s-i\epsilon)\right]^{-1}$$

Unitar

$$S_0^0(s) = 1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{4M_\pi^2}{s} - 1}t_0^0(s) \ , \qquad 0 \le s \le 4M_\pi^2$$
ity implies that: $S_0^0{\,}'(s + i\epsilon) = \left[S_0^0{\,}'(s - i\epsilon)
ight]^{-1}$

The second sheet is reached by analytic continuation crossing the real axis from above: (for ϵ infinitesimally small)

$$S_0^{0 \ l}(s-i\epsilon) = S_0^{0 \ l}(s+i\epsilon) = \left[S_0^{0 \ l}(s-i\epsilon)\right]^{-1}$$

By analytic continuation, it is then true everywhere that

$$S_0^0 \,{}^{\prime\prime}(s) = \left[S_0^0 \,{}^{\prime}(s)
ight]^{-1}$$

Poles on the second sheet correspond to zeros on the first sheet!

Caprini, GC and Leutwyler, PRL (06)

 $\pi\pi$ scattering the σ Finite volume effects Summary

Summary: method to determine the pole position

Roy equations provide an explicit representation of t₀⁰ on the first sheet, in terms of the imaginary parts of the partial waves on the real axis and two subtraction constants:

$$t_0^0(s) = a + (s - 4M_\pi^2) b + \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\Lambda^2} ds' K_0(s,s') \ln t_0^0(s') + \dots$$

 $\pi\pi$ scattering the σ Finite volume effects Summary

Summary: method to determine the pole position

Roy equations provide an explicit representation of t₀⁰ on the first sheet, in terms of the imaginary parts of the partial waves on the real axis and two subtraction constants:

$$t_0^0(s) = a + (s - 4M_\pi^2) \, b + \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\Lambda^2} ds' K_0(s,s') \, \mathrm{Im} \, t_0^0(s') + \dots$$

 Unitarity implies that the S-matrix on the second sheet is equal to the inverse of the S-matrix on the first sheet

$$S_0^0 \,{}^{\prime\prime}(s) = \left[S_0^0 \,{}^{\prime}(s)
ight]^{-1}$$

 $\pi\pi$ scattering the σ Finite volume effects Summary

Summary: method to determine the pole position

Roy equations provide an explicit representation of t₀⁰ on the first sheet, in terms of the imaginary parts of the partial waves on the real axis and two subtraction constants:

$$t_0^0(s) = a + (s - 4M_\pi^2) \, b + \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\Lambda^2} ds' K_0(s,s') \, \mathrm{Im} \, t_0^0(s') + \dots$$

Unitarity implies that the S-matrix on the second sheet is equal to the inverse of the S-matrix on the first sheet

$$S_0^0 \,{}''(s) = \left[S_0^0 \,{}'(s)
ight]^{-1}$$

Using as input the imaginary parts of the partial waves and the two S-wave scattering lengths one can determine the position of the poles of the S-matrix on the second sheet

Importance of the scattering lengths



Input: the imaginary parts from Roy solutions below 1.15 GeV [GC, Leutwyler, in preparation] and the central values of the two scattering lengths (CHPT) we find two pairs of zeros

$$m_{\sigma}^2 = (6.2 \pm i\,12.3)\,M_{\pi}^2 \qquad m_{f_0}^2 = (51.4 \pm i\,1.4)\,M_{\pi}^2$$



Input: the imaginary parts from Roy solutions below 1.15 GeV [GC, Leutwyler, in preparation] and the central values of the two scattering lengths (CHPT) we find two pairs of zeros

$$m_{\sigma}^2 = (6.2 \pm i\,12.3)\,M_{\pi}^2 \qquad m_{f_0}^2 = (51.4 \pm i\,1.4)\,M_{\pi}^2$$

Error analysis:

[at fixed a_0^0 , a_0^2 and $\delta_A \equiv \delta_0^0(0.8 \text{GeV})$]

$$m_{\sigma} ~=~ 441 \pm 4 - i(272 \pm 6) {
m MeV}$$

Input: the imaginary parts from Roy solutions below 1.15 GeV [GC, Leutwyler, in preparation] and the central values of the two scattering lengths (CHPT) we find two pairs of zeros

$$m_{\sigma}^2 = (6.2 \pm i \, 12.3) \, M_{\pi}^2 \qquad m_{f_0}^2 = (51.4 \pm i \, 1.4) \, M_{\pi}^2$$

Error analysis:

$$m_{\sigma} = 441 \pm 4 - i(272 \pm 6) \text{ MeV} + (-2.4 + i3.8) \Delta a_0^0$$

$$\Delta a_0^0 = \frac{a_0^0 - 0.220}{0.005}$$

Input: the imaginary parts from Roy solutions below 1.15 GeV [GC, Leutwyler, in preparation] and the central values of the two scattering lengths (CHPT) we find two pairs of zeros

$$m_{\sigma}^2 = (6.2 \pm i\,12.3)\,M_{\pi}^2 \qquad m_{f_0}^2 = (51.4 \pm i\,1.4)\,M_{\pi}^2$$

Error analysis:

$$egin{array}{rcl} m_{\sigma} &=& 441 \pm 4 - i(272 \pm 6) \; {
m MeV} + (-2.4 + i3.8) \Delta a_0^0 \ &+ (0.8 - i4.0) \Delta a_0^2 \ && \Delta a_0^0 = rac{a_0^0 - 0.220}{0.005} & \Delta a_0^2 = rac{a_0^0 + 0.0444}{0.001} \end{array}$$

Input: the imaginary parts from Roy solutions below 1.15 GeV [GC, Leutwyler, in preparation] and the central values of the two scattering lengths (CHPT) we find two pairs of zeros

$$m_{\sigma}^2 = (6.2 \pm i\,12.3)\,M_{\pi}^2 \qquad m_{f_0}^2 = (51.4 \pm i\,1.4)\,M_{\pi}^2$$

Error analysis:

$$m_{\sigma} = 441 \pm 4 - i(272 \pm 6) \text{ MeV} + (-2.4 + i3.8) \Delta a_0^0 + (0.8 - i4.0) \Delta a_0^2 + (5.3 + i3.3) \Delta \delta_A$$

$$\Delta a_0^0 = \frac{a_0^0 - 0.220}{0.005} \qquad \Delta a_0^2 = \frac{a_0^0 + 0.0444}{0.001} \qquad \Delta \delta_A = \frac{\delta_A - 82.3}{3.4}$$

Input: the imaginary parts from Roy solutions below 1.15 GeV [GC, Leutwyler, in preparation] and the central values of the two scattering lengths (CHPT) we find two pairs of zeros

$$m_{\sigma}^2 = (6.2 \pm i\,12.3)\,M_{\pi}^2 \qquad m_{f_0}^2 = (51.4 \pm i\,1.4)\,M_{\pi}^2$$

Error analysis:

$$m_{\sigma} = 441 \pm 4 - i(272 \pm 6) \text{ MeV} + (-2.4 + i3.8)\Delta a_0^0 + (0.8 - i4.0)\Delta a_0^2 + (5.3 + i3.3)\Delta \delta_A$$

$$\Delta a_0^0 = \frac{a_0^0 - 0.220}{0.005} \qquad \Delta a_0^2 = \frac{a_0^0 + 0.0444}{0.001} \qquad \Delta \delta_A = \frac{\delta_A - 82.3}{3.4}$$

 $M_{\sigma} = 441 \, {}^{+16}_{-8} \, \, {\rm MeV} \, , \ \ \Gamma_{\sigma} = 544 \, {}^{+18}_{-25} \, \, {\rm MeV}$

Caprini, GC and Leutwyler, PRL (06)

Comparison to lattice results?

- ► dispersion relations + chiral symmetry ⇒ high precision determination of *σ* parameters
- this is the lowest resonance in QCD
- can the lattice provide a similar information?
- what method would allow one to calculate the σ parameters from first principles?

see:

lecture by C. Lang Meißner, Rusetsky et al. 1007.0860, 1010.6018

Outline

$\pi\pi$ scattering beyond LO Experimental tests

The σ resonance

Finite volume effects

Asymptotic formulae Resummation of higher exponentials The pion mass to two loops

Summary

Preliminary remarks

CHPT: expansion in m_{q_l}/Λ and p/Λ In finite volume the momentum is quantized: Condition of applicability of CHPT:

$$m_{q_l} \ll \Lambda$$
 and $rac{2\pi}{L} \ll \Lambda$
 $\Lambda \sim 4\pi F_{\pi} \Rightarrow 2LF_{\pi} \gg 1$, $L \gg 1 \mathrm{fm}$

Two different physical regimes

$$LM_{\pi} \lesssim 1 \Rightarrow \epsilon$$
-regime $M_{\pi} \sim \frac{1}{L^2} \sim O(\epsilon^2)$
 $LM_{\pi} \gg 1 \Rightarrow p$ -regime $M_{\pi} \sim \frac{1}{L} \sim O(p)$

 $p=\frac{2\pi}{L}n$

Preliminary remarks

CHPT: expansion in m_{q_l}/Λ and p/Λ In finite volume the momentum is quantized: Condition of applicability of CHPT:

$$m_{q_l} \ll \Lambda$$
 and $rac{2\pi}{L} \ll \Lambda$
 $\Lambda \sim 4\pi F_\pi \; \Rightarrow \; 2LF_\pi \gg 1 \;, \; L \gg 1 \mathrm{fm}$

Two different physical regimes

$$LM_{\pi} \lesssim 1 \Rightarrow \epsilon$$
-regime $M_{\pi} \sim \frac{1}{L^2} \sim O(\epsilon^2)$
 $LM_{\pi} \gg 1 \Rightarrow p$ -regime $M_{\pi} \sim \frac{1}{L} \sim O(p)$

 $p=\frac{2\pi}{L}n$

p-regime

Calculational rule in CHPT for isotropic finite box with periodic boundary conditions:

- the Lagrangian is the same as in infinite volume
- the propagators must be made periodic:

$$G_L(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{\vec{n}} G_\infty(\vec{x}+\vec{n}L,t)$$

p-regime Examples:

Gasser and Leutwyler (88)

$$M_{\pi}(L) = M_{\pi} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2N_f} \xi \, \tilde{g}_1(\lambda) + O(\xi^2) \right]$$

$$F_{\pi}(L) = F_{\pi} \left[1 - \frac{N_f}{2} \xi \, \tilde{g}_1(\lambda) + O(\xi^2) \right]$$

with

$$\lambda = M_{\pi}L, \ \xi = (M_{\pi}/4\pi F_{\pi})^{2}$$
$$\tilde{g}_{1}(\lambda) = \left(\frac{4\pi}{M_{\pi}}\right)^{2} \sum_{\vec{n}\neq\vec{0}} G_{\infty}(\vec{x}+\vec{n}L,t)_{|_{t=\vec{x}=0}} = \sum_{\vec{n}^{2}=1}^{\infty} \frac{4m(|\vec{n}|)}{|\vec{n}|\lambda} K_{1}(|\vec{n}|\lambda)$$
p-regime Examples:

Gasser and Leutwyler (88)

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\pi}(L) &= M_{\pi} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2N_{f}} \xi \, \tilde{g}_{1}(\lambda) + O(\xi^{2}) \right] \\ F_{\pi}(L) &= F_{\pi} \left[1 - \frac{N_{f}}{2} \xi \, \tilde{g}_{1}(\lambda) + O(\xi^{2}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\lambda = M_{\pi}L, \ \xi = (M_{\pi}/4\pi F_{\pi})^{2}$$
$$\tilde{g}_{1}(\lambda) = \left(\frac{4\pi}{M_{\pi}}\right)^{2} \sum_{\vec{n}\neq\vec{0}} G_{\infty}(\vec{x}+\vec{n}L,t)_{|_{t=\vec{x}=0}} = \sum_{\vec{n}^{2}=1}^{\infty} \frac{4m(|\vec{n}|)}{|\vec{n}|\lambda} K_{1}(|\vec{n}|\lambda)$$

Asymptotic expansion of the Bessel function:

$$K_1(x) \simeq \sqrt{rac{\pi}{2x}} e^{-x}$$



Loop-diagram



Loop diagram with periodic boundary conditions



Loop diagram with periodic boundary conditions



This diagram exists only for $L \neq \infty$

Its effect is of the order $\exp[-mL]$

Loop diagram with periodic boundary conditions

Lüscher has shown (86) that the leading exponential correction for $\lambda \gg 1$ has this general form:

$$M_{\pi,L}-M_{\pi}=C\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dy\ e^{-\sqrt{M_{\pi}^2+y^2}L}F(iy)+\ldots$$

 $F(\nu)$ is the forward $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude

Lüscher has shown (86) that the leading exponential correction for $\lambda \gg 1$ has this general form:

$$M_{\pi,L}-M_{\pi}=C\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dy\ e^{-\sqrt{M_{\pi}^2+y^2}L}F(iy)+\ldots$$

 $F(\nu)$ is the forward $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude



Lüscher has shown (86) that the leading exponential correction for $\lambda \gg 1$ has this general form:

$$M_{\pi,L}-M_{\pi}=C\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dy\ e^{-\sqrt{M_{\pi}^2+y^2}L}F(iy)+\ldots$$

 $F(\nu)$ is the forward $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude

 The formula expresses the corrections as an integral over a physical amplitude (analytically continued)

Lüscher has shown (86) that the leading exponential correction for $\lambda \gg 1$ has this general form:

$$M_{\pi,L}-M_{\pi}=C\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dy\ e^{-\sqrt{M_{\pi}^2+y^2}L}F(iy)+\ldots$$

 $F(\nu)$ is the forward $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude

- The formula expresses the corrections as an integral over a physical amplitude (analytically continued)
- The formula extends almost trivially to other particles: what matters for the behaviour of the corrections is not the mass of the particle itself, but the mass of the pion

Lüscher has shown (86) that the leading exponential correction for $\lambda \gg 1$ has this general form:

$$M_{\pi,L}-M_{\pi}=C\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dy\ e^{-\sqrt{M_{\pi}^2+y^2}L}F(iy)+\ldots$$

 $F(\nu)$ is the forward $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude

- The formula expresses the corrections as an integral over a physical amplitude (analytically continued)
- The formula extends almost trivially to other particles: what matters for the behaviour of the corrections is not the mass of the particle itself, but the mass of the pion
- ► e.g. both the corrections for the pion as well as those for the proton mass depend exponentially on $M_{\pi}L$

Cuts and poles in the scattering amplitude Any scattering amplitude must have a cut at

$$s, u = (M+m)^2 \Rightarrow \nu = \frac{s-u}{4m} = \pm M_{\pi}$$



Cuts and poles in the scattering amplitude In addition it may have poles,





Poles on the lhs of the imaginary axis generate an extra term in the Lüscher's formula

GC, Fuhrer, Lanz, 09

Cuts and poles in the scattering amplitude In addition it may have poles,

or at
$$s, u = m_X^2 \Rightarrow \nu = \frac{s-u}{4m} = \mp \frac{M_\pi^2}{2m} + \Delta m \left(1 + \frac{\Delta m}{2m}\right) \qquad \Delta m = m_X - m$$



Poles on the rhs of the imaginary axis do not generate an extra term in the Lüscher's formula, cf. Arndt and Lin (04)

Corrections for M_{π}

$\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude with forward kinematics

$$F_{\pi\pi}(\nu) = T^{I=0} \left[0, 2M_{\pi}(M_{\pi}+\nu), 2M_{\pi}(M_{\pi}-\nu)
ight] = -rac{M_{\pi}^2}{F_{\pi}^2} + O(p^4)$$



$$\Delta M^{L}_{\pi \text{ Lüscher}} = \frac{-3}{16\pi^{2}\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy F(iy) e^{-\sqrt{M^{2}_{\pi} + y^{2}}L} + O(e^{-\overline{M}L})$$

$$\Delta M^{L}_{\pi \text{ CHPT}} = \frac{1}{4}\xi \tilde{g}_{1}(\lambda) + O(\xi^{2})$$

$$F(\nu) = -\frac{M_{\pi}^2}{F_{\pi}^2} + O(p^4) , \quad \tilde{g}_1(\lambda) = \sum_{\vec{n}^2 = 1}^{\infty} \frac{4m(|\vec{n}|)}{|\vec{n}|\lambda} K_1(|\vec{n}|\lambda)$$

The leading exponential term at leading order in the chiral expansion is the same in both formulae

Each formula gives the leading term in two different expansions

$$\Delta M_{\pi \text{ Lüscher}}^{L} = \frac{-3}{16\pi^{2}\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy F(iy) e^{-\sqrt{M_{\pi}^{2}+y^{2}L}} + O(e^{-\overline{M}L})$$
$$\Delta M_{\pi \text{ CHPT}}^{L} = \frac{1}{4}\xi \tilde{g}_{1}(\lambda) + O(\xi^{2})$$



$$\Delta M^{L}_{\pi \text{ Lüscher}} = \frac{-3}{16\pi^{2}\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy F(iy) e^{-\sqrt{M^{2}_{\pi} + y^{2}}L} + O(e^{-\overline{M}L})$$

$$\Delta M^{L}_{\pi \text{ CHPT}} = \frac{1}{4}\xi \tilde{g}_{1}(\lambda) + O(\xi^{2})$$



$$\Delta M_{\pi \text{ Lüscher}}^{L} = \frac{-3}{16\pi^{2}\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy F(iy) e^{-\sqrt{M_{\pi}^{2}+y^{2}}L} + O(e^{-\overline{M}L})$$

$$\Delta M_{\pi \text{ CHPT}}^{L} = \frac{1}{4}\xi \tilde{g}_{1}(\lambda) + O(\xi^{2})$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(3)$$

$$(3)$$

$$(3)$$

$$(4)$$

$$(4)$$

$$(4)$$

$$(4)$$

$$(4)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(4)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(4)$$

$$(6)$$

$$(6)$$

$$(6)$$

$$(6)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(7)$$

Lüscher's formula vs CHPT: numerics



Extension of the Lüscher's Formula One-loop CHPT corrections

$$\tilde{g}_{1}(\lambda) = \left(\frac{4\pi}{M_{\pi}}\right)^{2} \sum_{\vec{n}\neq\vec{0}} G_{\infty}(\vec{x}+\vec{n}L,t)_{|_{t=\vec{x}=0}} = \sum_{\vec{n}^{2}=1}^{\infty} \frac{4m(|\vec{n}|)}{|\vec{n}|\lambda} \kappa_{1}\left(|\vec{n}|\lambda\right)$$

Extension of the Lüscher's Formula One-loop CHPT corrections

$$\tilde{g}_{1}(\lambda) = \left(\frac{4\pi}{M_{\pi}}\right)^{2} \sum_{\vec{n}\neq\vec{0}} G_{\infty}(\vec{x}+\vec{n}L,t)_{|_{t=\vec{x}=0}} = \sum_{\vec{n}^{2}=1}^{\infty} \frac{4m(|\vec{n}|)}{|\vec{n}|\lambda} K_{1}\left(|\vec{n}|\lambda\right)$$

Analogously one can extend the Lüscher's Formula so that it contains contributions from all $|\vec{n}|$ of a single propagator:

$$M_{\pi,L} - M_{\pi} = -\frac{1}{32\pi^2\lambda} \sum_{\vec{n}^2=1}^{\infty} \frac{m(|\vec{n}|)}{|\vec{n}|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy F(iy) e^{-\sqrt{\vec{n}^2(M_{\pi}^2 + y^2)}L}$$

The extension does not give all exponentially subleading terms!

but pushes the accuracy of the formula toward $O\left(e^{-2\lambda}\right)$

GC S. Dürr and C. Haefeli (05)

Extension of the Lüscher's Formula

$$M_{\pi,L} - M_{\pi} = -rac{1}{32\pi^2\lambda}\sum_{ec{n}^2=1}^{\infty}rac{m(ec{n}ec{n}ec{)}}{ec{n}ec{}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dy F(\mathit{iy}) e^{-\sqrt{ec{n}^2(M_{\pi}^2+y^2)}L}$$



Nonleading exp. terms in $M_{\pi,L}$



GC S. Dürr and C. Haefeli (05)

Nonleading exp. terms in $M_{\pi,L}$



GC S. Dürr and C. Haefeli (05)

Two loop effects beyond Lüscher Diagrams with two propagators in finite volume are not included in Lüscher's formula



Two loop effects beyond Lüscher Diagrams with two propagators in finite volume are not included in Lüscher's formula



However, also diagrams with one propagator in finite volume are not fully included



Two loop effects beyond Lüscher

$$M_{L} - M_{\infty} = \sum_{\vec{n} \neq \vec{0}} \int d^{4}\ell \, \Gamma(p, \ell, -\ell, -p) G_{L}(\ell)$$
$$G_{\infty}(\ell) \sim \frac{1}{\ell^{2} + m^{2}}$$

Two loop effects beyond Lüscher



The pion mass to two loops

 $\Delta M_{\pi} = L$ üscher resummed + cut + 2 FV-propagators

The pion mass to two loops

$\Delta M_{\pi} = L$ üscher resummed + cut + 2 FV-propagators



The pion mass to two loops

 ΔM_{π} = Lüscher resummed + cut + 2 FV-propagators

The contributions beyond the resummed Lüscher formula are negligibly small

Outline

$\pi\pi$ scattering beyond LO Experimental tests

The σ resonance

Finite volume effects

Asymptotic formulae Resummation of higher exponentials The pion mass to two loops

Summary

Summary

As an illustration of the effective field theory method I have discussed a few applications:

- the analysis of the $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude
- the determination of the σ resonance parameters
- the calculation of finite volume effects