

4.2. Fermionic path integrals

(69)

This time we will directly switch to the Euclidean path integral formalism. The starting point will be again the n-point Green's functions

$$G_E^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_m; x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n) \equiv \langle 0 | T \{ \hat{\psi}_\mu(x_1) \dots \hat{\psi}_\mu(x_m) \overleftarrow{\hat{\psi}}_\mu(x_{m+1}) \dots \overleftarrow{\hat{\psi}}_\mu(x_n) | 0 \rangle$$

in the Heisenberg picture. Because of the anti-commutation we have to modify time ordering as follows

$$T \{ \hat{\psi}_\mu(\tilde{x}), \hat{\psi}_\mu(\tilde{y}) \} \equiv \Theta(\tilde{x}^0 - \tilde{y}^0) \hat{\psi}_\mu(\tilde{x}) \hat{\psi}_\mu(\tilde{y}) - \Theta(\tilde{y}^0 - \tilde{x}^0) \overleftarrow{\hat{\psi}}_\mu(\tilde{y}) \overleftarrow{\hat{\psi}}_\mu(\tilde{x})$$

• Examples for interacting theories for Fermions are the

Gross-Neveu model $\mathcal{L}_M + \lambda (\bar{\psi} \psi)^2$ or Thirring model $\mathcal{L}_M + \lambda (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi)(\bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \psi)$

• In analogy to the scalar field we consider

$$G_\beta^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_m; x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n) = \frac{\text{Tr} [e^{-\beta \hat{H}} T \{ \hat{\psi}_\mu(x_1) \dots \hat{\psi}_\mu(x_m) \overleftarrow{\hat{\psi}}_\mu(x_{m+1}) \dots \overleftarrow{\hat{\psi}}_\mu(x_n) \}]}{\text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}}}$$

• For simplicity we consider again 1dim QM

$$x^\mu \rightarrow t, \quad \hat{\psi}(x^\mu) \rightarrow \hat{\chi}(t), \quad \overleftarrow{\hat{\psi}} = i \hat{\psi}^\dagger \rightarrow \hat{p}(t), \quad \hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{H}(\hat{\chi}, \hat{p})$$

the fermionic oscillator is def by

$$\hat{H} = \omega \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}, \quad \hat{\chi} = \hat{a}, \quad \hat{p} = i \hat{a}^\dagger$$

$$\{ \hat{\chi}, \hat{p} \} = i \Leftrightarrow \{ \hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger \} = \{ \hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a} \} = 1, \quad \{ \hat{a}, \hat{a} \} = 0 = \{ \hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}^\dagger \}$$

$$\Rightarrow [\hat{H}, \hat{a}] \stackrel{p.c.B.}{=} \omega (-\{ \hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a} \} \hat{a}) = -\omega \hat{a}, \quad [\hat{H}, \hat{p}] = \omega \hat{a}^\dagger \{ \hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger \} = +\omega \hat{a}^\dagger$$

• We only have 2 states:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{the vacuum } |0\rangle \text{ with } \hat{a}|0\rangle = 0 \\ \text{the state } \hat{a}^\dagger |0\rangle \equiv |1\rangle \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \hat{a}^\dagger |1\rangle = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger |0\rangle = 0 |0\rangle = 0 \\ \hat{a} |1\rangle = \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger |0\rangle = (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + 1) |0\rangle = |0\rangle \end{array}$$

Grassmann variables

- In the path integral formalism we can replace operators by class variables (at the cost of the path integration), but the latter still have to anti-commute as class. objects.

Axioms of calculation for Grassmann variables c, c^*

- * c, c^* are indep (as x, p or $z, z^* \in \mathbb{C}$) and nilpotent $0^2 = 0 = c^{**}$
- * $\int dc = 0 = \int dc^*$ formal integration (\neq Riemannian sense)
- * $\int dc c = 1 = \int dc^* c^*$ ($\Rightarrow \int dc^* \int dc c c^* = 1$)
- * $\{c, c^*\} = cc^* + c^*c$ anticommutation, for more variables $c_j^* = \dots$
- $\{c_i, c_j\} = 0 = \{c_i, c_j^*\} = \{c_i^*, c_j\}$

the "differentials" dc, dc^* are also anti-comm. objects

- * the c_i, c_j^* anticommute with a or a^\dagger .

- \Rightarrow a product of an even number of anticommuting objects is commuting, e.g. ca^\dagger is commuting (but still nilpotent: $(ca^\dagger)^2 = ca^\dagger ca^\dagger = -a^\dagger cca^\dagger = 0$)

- \Rightarrow any function of c_i or c_j can be expanded into a finite

Taylor series, e.g. $e^{-ca^\dagger} = 1 - ca^\dagger + \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{(ca^\dagger)^2}_0 + \dots = 1 - ca^\dagger$

2 useful states:

(1) $|c\rangle \equiv e^{-ca^\dagger} |0\rangle = (1 - ca^\dagger) |0\rangle = |0\rangle - c|1\rangle$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{a}|c\rangle = +ca^\dagger|1\rangle = c|0\rangle = c|c\rangle$, is a left \hat{a} (or \hat{x}) eigenstate

(2) $\langle c| \equiv \langle 0| e^{-\hat{a}c^*} = \langle 0| (1 - \hat{a}c^*) = \langle 0| - \langle 1| c^*$
 $\Rightarrow \langle c| \hat{a}^\dagger = +\langle 1| \hat{a}^\dagger c^* = \langle 0| c^* = \langle c| c^*$ is a right \hat{a}^\dagger (or \hat{p}) eigenst.

(3) $\rightarrow \langle 0|c\rangle = \langle 0| (1 - \hat{a}c^*) (1 - ca^\dagger) |0\rangle = 1 + \langle 0| \hat{a}c^* ca^\dagger |0\rangle = e^{c^*c}$

(to (2): note that diff. conventions exist for complex w_j (c_i, c_j) = $\pm c_j^* c_i^*$ into Eq.)

• insertion of identity : if holds $\sum_{s=q_1} |s\rangle\langle s| = 1$

alternatively $\int dc^* \int dc e^{-c^*c} |0\rangle\langle 0| = \int dc^* \int dc \underbrace{(1-c^*c)(1-ca^\dagger)}_{\text{collect } c^*c} |0\rangle\langle 0| (1-a^\dagger c^*)$
 $= \int dc^* \int dc (-c^*c |0\rangle\langle 0| + ca^\dagger |0\rangle\langle 0| a^\dagger c^*)$
 $= + |0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 0| \quad \text{also serves as 1}$

• complete trace :

for a bosonic operator \hat{A} we have $\text{Tr}[\hat{A}] = \langle 0|\hat{A}|0\rangle + \langle 1|\hat{A}|1\rangle$

alternatively $\int dc^* \int dc e^{-c^*c} \langle -c|\hat{A}|c\rangle = \int dc^* \int dc (1-c^*c) \langle 0|(1+a^\dagger c^*) \hat{A} (1-ca^\dagger)|0\rangle$
 $= \int dc^* \int dc (-c^*c) \langle 0|\hat{A}|0\rangle - \int dc^* \int dc \langle 0|a^\dagger c^* \hat{A} c a^\dagger |1\rangle$
 $= \langle 0|\hat{A}|0\rangle + \langle 1|\hat{A}|1\rangle \quad (\text{note } \text{Tr}[\hat{A}] = 0 \text{ for } \hat{A} \text{ fermionic})$

• we can now proceed as in the bosonic case for $G_p^{(a)}(z_1, \dots, z_n) =$
 with $p \geq z_1 \geq z_2 \geq \dots \geq z_n$

(*) $\text{Tr} [e^{-\beta \hat{H}} \text{Tr} \{ \hat{x}_n^{\hat{A}}(z_n) \dots \hat{x}_1^{\hat{A}}(z_1) \hat{p}(z_n) \dots \hat{p}(z_1) \}]$, $\hat{H} = \omega \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}$ bosonic, n even to get $\neq 0$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{A}$ is bosonic

$\int dc_1^* \int dc_1 e^{-c_1^* c_1} \langle -c_1 | e^{-(\beta-z_1)\hat{H}} \dots e^{-(z_n-z_1)\hat{H}} e^{-\hat{p}} \dots e^{-\hat{p}} | -c_1 \rangle$

split $e^{-(\beta-z_1)\hat{H}} = (e^{-\varepsilon \hat{H}})^{\frac{\beta-z_1}{\varepsilon}} = e^{-\varepsilon \hat{H}} \dots e^{-\varepsilon \hat{H}}$ etc, $\varepsilon = \frac{\beta}{N}$

and insert identities

$\int dc_1^* \int dc_1 e^{-c_1^* c_1} \dots \int dc_2^* \int dc_2 e^{-c_2^* c_2} \dots |c_1\rangle \langle c_1|$

• s.t. \hat{x} is on the lhs :
 to use $\hat{x}|c_j\rangle = c_j|c_j\rangle$

$e^{-\varepsilon \hat{H}} \hat{x} e^{-\varepsilon \hat{H}} = \int dc_j^* \int dc_j e^{-c_j^* c_j} e^{-\varepsilon \hat{H}} \hat{x} |c_j\rangle \langle c_j| e^{-\varepsilon \hat{H}}$

• s.t. \hat{p} is on the rhs :

$e^{-\varepsilon \hat{H}} \hat{p} e^{-\varepsilon \hat{H}} = \int dc_j^* \int dc_j e^{-c_j^* c_j} e^{-\varepsilon \hat{H}} |c_j\rangle \langle c_j| \hat{p} e^{-\varepsilon \hat{H}}$

to use $\langle c_j | \hat{p} = \langle c_j | i c_j^*$

we have $\hat{H} = \omega \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} = -i\omega \hat{p} \hat{x}$ is bosonic:

• $\langle c_{j+1} | e^{-\epsilon \hat{H}(\hat{p}, \hat{x})} | c_j \rangle = \langle c_{j+1} | e^{-\epsilon \hat{H}(i c_{j+1}^*, c_j)} | c_j \rangle$ (NO approx, $\epsilon \epsilon^2$ is zero)

• in case of \hat{x} or \hat{p} present we still have

$\langle c_{j+1} | \hat{p} e^{-\epsilon \hat{H}} | c_j \rangle = \langle c_{j+1} | i c_{j+1}^* e^{-\epsilon \hat{H}(i c_{j+1}^*, c_j)} | c_j \rangle$ as here ϵ is 0

$\langle c_{j+1} | e^{-\epsilon \hat{H}} \hat{x} | c_j \rangle = \langle c_{j+1} | e^{-\epsilon \hat{H}(i c_{j+1}^*, c_j)} c_j | c_j \rangle$

• \Rightarrow per insertion of $\mathbb{1}$ we have \rightarrow either \hat{p} or \hat{x} or none is present

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e^{-c_{j+1}^* c_{j+1}} | c_{j+1} \rangle \langle c_{j+1} | \left(\hat{p} e^{-\epsilon \hat{H}(\hat{p}, \hat{x})} \right) | c_j \rangle \\
 &= e^{-c_{j+1}^* c_{j+1}} | c_{j+1} \rangle \langle c_{j+1} | c_j \rangle e^{-\epsilon \hat{H}(i c_{j+1}^*, c_j)} (i c_{j+1}^*) (c_j) (-) \epsilon_{p \neq x} \\
 &= - | c_{j+1} \rangle e^{-c_{j+1}^* c_{j+1} + c_{j+1}^* c_j - \epsilon \hat{H}(i c_{j+1}^*, c_j)} (i c_{j+1}^*) (c_j) (-) \epsilon_{p \neq x} \\
 & \quad \left[\epsilon_p \left[-\epsilon \left\{ c_{j+1}^* \frac{(c_{j+1} - c_j)}{\epsilon} + \hat{H}(i c_{j+1}^*, c_j) \right\} \right] \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

• starting from the right in $\textcircled{*}$ we can proceed until we reach $\langle -c_1 |$:

$e^{-c_1^* c_1} \langle -c_1 | e^{-\epsilon \hat{H}} | c_N \rangle = e^{-c_1^* c_1 - c_1^* c_N - \epsilon \hat{H}(-i c_1^*, c_N)}$
 \Rightarrow use boundary cond $c_{N+1} = -c_1$

$\Rightarrow \textcircled{*} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int dc_1^* \int dc_1 \dots \int dc_N^* \int dc_N c(c_1) \dots c(c_N) i c(c_{N+1}) \dots i c(c_1)}{\int dc_1^* \dots \int dc_N e^{-S_E}}$

with $S_E = \epsilon \sum_{j=1}^N c_{j+1}^* \frac{c_{j+1} - c_j}{\epsilon} + \hat{H}(i c_{j+1}^*, c_j)$

$\Rightarrow G_p^{(N)}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_N) = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}c^*(\omega) \int \mathcal{D}c(\omega) c(\tau_1) \dots c(\tau_N) i c(\tau_{N+1}) \dots i c(\tau_1) \epsilon_p \left[- \int_0^\beta d\tau \left(c^*(\omega) \frac{dc(\omega)}{d\tau} + \hat{H}(c^*(\omega), c(\omega)) \right) \right]}{\int \mathcal{D}c^*(\omega) \int \mathcal{D}c(\omega)}$

with b.c. $c(\beta) = -c(0)$
 $c^*(\beta) = -c^*(0)$