

5.6. Effective action and background field method

• reminder generating functionals for scalar field theory (p. 43, etc)

$$Z[J] = e^{W[J]} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_E[\phi] + \int dx^4 \phi(x) J(x)}$$

Legendre trafo $\Gamma[\varphi] = W[J] - \int dx^4 \varphi(x) J(x)$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(x) = \frac{\delta W[J]}{\delta J(x)}, \quad J(x) = - \frac{\delta \Gamma[\varphi]}{\delta \varphi(x)}$$

- fields $\varphi(x), J(x)$ are indep. of field $\phi(x)$
- all objects are commuting (not operator valued) as we use path int.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow e^{\Gamma[\varphi]} &= e^{W[J]} e^{-\int dx^4 \varphi(x) J(x)} \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_E[\phi] + \int dx^4 (\phi(x) - \varphi(x)) J(x)} \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_E[\phi] - \int dx^4 (\phi(x) - \varphi(x)) \frac{\delta \Gamma[\varphi]}{\delta \varphi(x)}} \end{aligned}$$

• $\Gamma[\varphi]$ was def as the generating functional for all 1PI Green's funct. it is also called effective action.

saddle point approx.

substitute $\phi = \varphi_0 + \phi'(x)$

We now require the following boundary conditions:

$$\tilde{\varphi}'(p=0) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \int dx^4 e^{-i(p=0) \cdot x} \phi'(x) = \int dx^4 \phi'(x) = 0$$

what we only keep nonvanishing momenta in $\tilde{\varphi}'$ (subtracted const. mode)

If φ_0 is a constant field (x-indep) we have

$$e^{\Gamma[\varphi]} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi' e^{-S_E[\varphi_0 + \phi'] - \int dx^4 \phi'(x) \cdot \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \varphi}} \quad \left| \text{drop terms linear in } \phi' \right.$$

$$e^{\Gamma[\varphi]} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi' e^{-S_E[\varphi + \phi']} \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{no linear} \\ \phi' \text{ terms} \end{array} \right.$$

corresponds formally to a saddle point approximation (in some cases this may be generalised to $\varphi = \varphi(x)$).

back to gauge fields

Here the source term $\int dx A_\mu^a(x) J_\mu^a(x)$ is in general breaks gauge invariance. That is $\Gamma[A_\mu^a]$ is in general neither gauge invariant, nor indep of the gauge-fixing parameter ξ .

For $0 = J_\mu^a(x) = \frac{\delta \Gamma[A_\mu^a]}{\delta A_\mu^a(x)} = 0$ we may recover gauge inv (apart from gauge fixing). This means that physical observables are only consistently defined when using the "on-shell" condition

(we already exploited the inv. for phys. Green's function to derive the Ward-Takahashi identities)

Background gauge

The determination of $\Gamma[A_\mu^a]$ can be simplified in this method. Because the final answer for Γ should be gauge indep, we may use a particular choice \Rightarrow symmetry on Γ , easier

The background field A_μ^a in background gauge is called B_μ^a (next week: use results from this for asymptotic freedom)

consider a $su(N)$ valued field $\bar{\Phi} = \bar{\Phi}^a \tau^a$

$\bullet D_\mu(A) = \partial_\mu - ig A_\mu$ is the cov. derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow [D_\mu(A), \bar{\Phi}] &= \partial_\mu \bar{\Phi}^a \tau^a - ig [A_\mu^a \tau^a, \bar{\Phi}^b \tau^b] \\ &= \partial_\mu \bar{\Phi}^a \tau^a + g f^{abc} A_\mu^a \bar{\Phi}^b \tau^c \\ &= \tau^a \left(\delta^{ab} \partial_\mu + g f^{abd} A_\mu^d \right) \bar{\Phi}^b \end{aligned}$$

$$f^{abc} = f^{cab}$$

where we call $\underbrace{\delta^{ab} \partial_\mu + g f^{abd} A_\mu^d}_{\equiv D_\mu^{ab}(A)}$ the covariant derivative in the adjoint representation. For more about this see ex. 12.4

we will shift $A_\mu^a \rightarrow A_\mu^a + B_\mu^a$ by the background field (corresp. to θ^a , with b.c.)

a) before the shift we have $S_E = S_E[A_\mu^a]$ as usual

* for the gauge fixing term we choose

$$G^a \equiv -D_\mu^{ab}(B) (A_\mu^b - B_\mu^b)$$

\Rightarrow gauge trafo on A (p. 82) by infinitesimal θ^a

$$A_\mu^a \rightarrow A_\mu^a + \partial_\mu \theta^a - g f^{bca} \theta^b A_\mu^c + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial A_\mu^a}{\partial \theta^b} = D_\mu^{ab}(A) \quad \text{using the above}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial G^a}{\partial \theta^b} = -D_\mu^{ac}(B) D_\mu^{cb}(A)$$

\Rightarrow b) after the shift we have

$$S_E [A_\mu^a + B_\mu^a], \quad \boxed{G^a = -D_\mu^{ab}(B) (A_\mu^b + B_\mu^b)}$$

$$\frac{\partial G^a}{\partial \theta^b} = -D_\mu^{ac}(B) D_\mu^{cb}(A + B)$$

Upon the appropriate choice of B_μ^a we have as before as a \mathbb{S}^4 approx (105)

$$e^{\Gamma[B]} = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu^a \mathcal{D}\bar{c}^a \mathcal{D}c^a \exp \left[-S_E[A_\mu^a + B_\mu^a] - \int d^4x \frac{1}{2i} G^a G^a + \bar{c}^a \frac{\partial G^a}{\partial \theta^b} c^b \right]$$

• we now perform a gauge trafo on the background field B_μ^a (and not on A_μ^a)

$$B_\mu^a \rightarrow B_\mu'^a = U B_\mu^a U^\dagger - \frac{i}{g} (\partial_\mu U) U^\dagger$$

• the integration measures $\mathcal{D}A_\mu$, $\mathcal{D}\bar{c}$, $\mathcal{D}c$ are invariant under

the unitary trafo

$$A_\mu \rightarrow U A_\mu U^\dagger$$

$$\bar{c} \rightarrow U \bar{c} U^\dagger$$

$$c \rightarrow U c U^\dagger$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{A_\mu + B_\mu} \rightarrow U (A_\mu + B_\mu) U^\dagger - \frac{i}{g} (\partial_\mu U) U^\dagger$$

(like a gauge trafo of)

• $\Gamma[B]$ is invariant under this gauge-trafo of B as

i) $S_E[A_\mu^a + B_\mu^a]$ is gauge inv
 $I = A_\mu$

$$ii) -\text{Tr}^a G^a = -\text{Tr}^a \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab}(B') A_\mu'^b = -\text{Tr}^a [\mathcal{D}_\mu(B'), A_\mu']$$

$$-G^a \stackrel{\text{p. 80}}{\cong} [U \mathcal{D}_\mu(B) U^\dagger, U A_\mu U^\dagger] = U [\mathcal{D}_\mu(B), A_\mu] U^\dagger = -U G U^\dagger$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} G^a G^a = \text{Tr} [\text{Tr}^a G^a \text{Tr}^b G^b] = \text{Tr} [G^a G^a] = \text{Tr} [G G] = \frac{1}{2} G^a G^a \Rightarrow \text{the GF term is inv. !}$$

iii) ghost term:

$$\text{eq 12.1: } \int d^4x \bar{c}^a \frac{\partial G^a}{\partial \theta^b} c^b = \int d^4x \text{Tr} \{ [\mathcal{D}_\mu(B), \bar{c}] [\mathcal{D}_\mu(A+B), c] \}$$

which is invariant

Does this trafo introduce a Jacobian?

(106)

(no sum convention): $\sum_a A_\mu^a A_\mu^a = 2 \sum_{a,b} \text{Tr} [\Gamma A_\mu^a \Gamma^b A_\mu^b] = 2 \text{Tr} [A_\mu^a A_\mu^a]$
 $= 2 \text{Tr} [U_\mu^b U_\mu^b] = \sum_a A_\mu^a A_\mu^a$

→ the scalar product is preserved,

orthogonal trafo with $\det \begin{bmatrix} \partial A_\mu^c \\ \partial A_\mu^d \end{bmatrix} = 1$

(ditto for $d\bar{c}, d\bar{c}$)

Consequences for renormalisation $g_B = Z_g^{-1} g_R, B_B = Z_B^{-1} B_R$

We saw for ϕ^4 -theory how to derive the RG eq for the renormalised λ_R from the renormalisation factor Z_λ (see p. 62.)

• the background field method simplifies the determination of Z_g here:

observation: $\Gamma[B] = \sum_u \frac{1}{u!} \Gamma_u^{(u)} B^u$, p. 58 the fields and $\Gamma_u^{(u)}$ can be renormalised in the opposite way $Z_B^{-1} \Gamma_u^{(u)}$, $Z_B^{-1} \Gamma_u^{(u)}$, $\Gamma[B]$ is finite express. through R quantities!

to L.O. $\Gamma[B] = G^1 \int dx^4 J_{\text{eff}}[B]$

Symbolically $= G^1 \int [\partial_B \partial_B + g \partial_B \cdot B^2 + g^2 B^4] + \dots$
 no indices

$= G^1 \int [Z_B (\partial B)^2 + Z_B^{-2} Z_g^{-1} g_R \partial B_R \cdot B_R^2 + Z_B^{-2} Z_g^{-2} g_R^2 B_R^4]$

⇒ finite ⇒ $G^1 Z_B = \text{finite}$

⇒ $Z_B Z_g = \text{finite}$, so Z_B determines Z_g

* The simplification here lies in the fact that Z_B follows from the quadratic part of the action, and usually we need 3- and

4-vertices to compute Z_g ∇