

Irreducible reps of  $SO(3)$  - an instructive example

- for finite groups we had:  
 number of irreps = number of conjugacy classes (finite!)

$$\sum_{\mu} n_{\mu}^2 = [G]$$

inequiv  
irreps of dim

- for  $SO(2) \cong U(1)$  we could label the irreps  $\ell$  by  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

- for  $SO(3)$ :

algebra  $[X_a, X_b] = i \epsilon_{abc} X_c$  same as

$$[\hat{L}_a, \hat{L}_b] = i \epsilon_{abc} \hat{L}_c \quad (\hbar=1)$$

operator  $\hat{L}^2 = \hat{L}_x^2 + \hat{L}_y^2 + \hat{L}_z^2$  in  $\mathcal{QM}$ , with  $[\hat{L}_i, \hat{L}_j] = \delta_{ij}$

$\Rightarrow$  from  $\mathcal{QM}$ : (irreducible) representations labeled

by  $l(l+1)$  eigenvalues of  $\hat{L}^2 = \hat{L}_a \hat{L}_a$ , with  $l \in \mathbb{N}$

with degeneracy  $m = -l, -l+1, \dots, +l$  (sub2):  $l \in \mathbb{N}/2$   
(2l+1)-fold

for fixed  $l$ : eigenvalues of  $\hat{L}_3$

basis of irrep  $|l, m\rangle$ :

$$X_{\pm} = X_1 \pm i X_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle l, m' | X_3 | l, m \rangle &= m \delta_{m, m'} \\ \langle l, m' | X_{\pm} | l, m \rangle &= \sqrt{(l \mp m)(l \pm m + 1)} \delta_{m', m \pm 1} \end{aligned}$$

with commutators  $[X_3, X_{\pm}] = \pm X_{\pm}$

- hence the choice of axis  $\parallel$  to  $X_3$  is our (arbitrary) convention:

in the basis  $|l, m\rangle$  we have  $X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} l & & & 0 \\ & l-1 & & \\ & & \dots & \\ 0 & & & -l+1 \\ & & & & -l \end{pmatrix}$

(for  $l=1$  see T3 p. 74, after changed basis  $X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ )

$\Rightarrow R_3(\varphi) = e^{-i\varphi X_3} = \text{diag}(e^{-il\varphi}, e^{-i(l-1)\varphi}, \dots, e^{+il\varphi})$

"looks like block-diag with 1d irreps"

with character  $\chi^{(l)}(\varphi) = e^{-il\varphi} + e^{-i(l-1)\varphi} + \dots + e^{il\varphi} = e^{-il\varphi} \frac{1 - e^{(2l+1)i\varphi}}{1 - e^{i\varphi}}$

$= \frac{e^{-i(l+\frac{1}{2})\varphi} - e^{i(l+\frac{1}{2})\varphi}}{e^{-i\varphi/2} - e^{i\varphi/2}} = \frac{\sin((l+\frac{1}{2})\varphi)}{\sin \frac{\varphi}{2}} = 1 + 2 \sum_{m=1}^l \cos m\varphi$

\* this remains true for rotation by angle  $\varphi$  around an arbitrary axis

Orthogonality of characters of SO(3)

• for finite groups we had  $\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_i(g) \chi_j(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{i \text{ conj. classes}} k_i \chi_i(g) \chi_j(g)$

• for  $SO(2) \cong U(1)$  we replaced this by  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi$

• for  $SO(3)$ :  $\int_0^{2\pi} d\mu(\varphi)$

we need to satisfy  $\int_0^{2\pi} d\mu(\varphi) \cdot 1 = 1$

ok: rot by  $\varphi$  or  $\varphi + \pi$

• in analogy to  $\sum_{g \in G} = \sum_{g' = hg \in G, h \in G, \text{ fixed}}$

the measure has to be invariant under group multiplication  $\equiv$  Haar measure

here:  $\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\mu(\varphi) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} (1 - \cos \varphi) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} 2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)$  [it's derivative: Appendix C H.F. Jones]

check:  $S_{e, e^1} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} 2 \sin^2 \frac{\varphi}{2} \frac{\sin((l+\frac{1}{2})\varphi)}{\sin \frac{\varphi}{2}} \frac{\sin((l'+\frac{1}{2})\varphi)}{\sin \frac{\varphi}{2}}$  use  $\cos(\alpha \pm \beta) = \cos \alpha \cos \beta \mp \sin \alpha \sin \beta$  and subtract

$= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} [\cos((l+\frac{1}{2} - l'+\frac{1}{2})\varphi) - \cos((l+\frac{1}{2} + l'+\frac{1}{2})\varphi)]$   $l, l' \in \mathbb{N}$

$= S_{e, e^1}$   $\heartsuit$

# The Clebsch-Gordan Series - Addition of Angular Momentum

Consider two reps  $D^{(l_1)}$ ,  $D^{(l_2)}$  with basis  $|l_{1,2}, m_{1,2}\rangle$  labelled by its eigenvalues  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  respectively.

In QM we learn that the resulting product state has eigenvalues  $l = |l_1 - l_2|, \dots, l_1 + l_2$ , or group theoretically

$$D^{(l_1)} \otimes D^{(l_2)} = \sum_{l=|l_1-l_2|}^{l_1+l_2} \oplus a_l D^{(l)}$$

in terms of the irreps  $D^{(l)}$ , with unknown coeffs. How does this come out here? Let  $l_1 \geq l_2$ , take the trace:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 45: \text{Tr}(D^{(l_1)} \otimes D^{(l_2)}) \rangle &= \chi^{(l_1)}(\varphi) \chi^{(l_2)}(\varphi) \\ &= \frac{e^{i(l_1+\frac{1}{2})\varphi} - e^{-i(l_1+\frac{1}{2})\varphi}}{2i \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}} \cdot \sum_{m_2=-l_2}^{+l_2} e^{im_2\varphi} \\ &= \frac{1}{2i \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}} \sum_{m=-l_2}^{+l_2} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(l_1+m+\frac{1}{2})\varphi} & - (l_1-m+\frac{1}{2})\varphi \\ & -e \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{m=-l_2}^{+l_2} \frac{e^{i(l_1+m+\frac{1}{2})\varphi} - (l_1+m+\frac{1}{2})\varphi}{2i \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}} \quad \uparrow \text{relabel } m \rightarrow -m \\ &= \sum_{l=|l_1-l_2|}^{l_1+l_2} \frac{e^{i(l+\frac{1}{2})\varphi} - i(l+\frac{1}{2})\varphi}{2i \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}} = \sum_{l=|l_1-l_2|}^{l_1+l_2} \chi^{(l)}(\varphi) \\ & \quad \text{shift } m \rightarrow l = m + l_1 \quad \quad \quad a_l = 1 \end{aligned}$$

## Properties of the generators and structure constants

• we have seen that we can parametrise unitary reps <sup>of Lie group</sup>  $G \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  by  $D(\theta) = \exp \left[ i \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{G}} \theta^a T_a \right]$

• the generators  $T^a$  form the Lie-algebra of  $G$

• from the closure of multiplication  $D(\theta) D(\theta')$  and  $T^a$  forming a basis we deduced

$$\underline{[T_a, T_b] = i f_{ab}^c T_c} \quad \text{with real structure constants}$$

$$f_{ab}^c = -f_{ba}^c \in \mathbb{R}$$

•  $\mathfrak{G}$  forms a vector space with multiplication  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{G}$

a)  $g_1 \cdot g_2 \equiv [g_1, g_2] = -[g_2, g_1]$

which is linear  $[(\lambda_1 g_1 + \lambda_2 g_2), g_3] = \lambda_1 [g_1, g_3] + \lambda_2 [g_2, g_3]$

b) being defined through commutators this product satisfies trivially

the Jacobi-identity  $[ [g_1, g_2], g_3 ] + [ [g_3, g_2], g_1 ] + [ [g_2, g_3], g_1 ] = 0$

$\Rightarrow$  for the generators  $g_1 = T_a, g_2 = T_b, g_3 = T_c$  this implies

$$\boxed{0 = f_{ab}^d f_{cd}^e + f_{bc}^d f_{ad}^e + f_{ca}^d f_{bd}^e} \quad , a, b, c, d, e \in \{1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{G}\}$$

[ Note: one can also define an abstract Lie-product that satisfies <sup>(+ commutative)</sup> a) and b), e.g. through the Poisson bracket  $[A, B] \equiv \{A, B\}_{PB}$  ]

• one can also define a scalar product on the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$  by  $(A, B) \equiv \text{Tr}(A, B)$ . The basis  $T_a$   $a=1, \dots, \dim[\mathfrak{g}]$  is called

orthogonal if  $\text{Tr}(T_a T_b) = \delta_{ab}$  and orthonormal if  $T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ .

\* The requirement that the basis  $T^a$  is complete leads to a completeness relation  $\sum_{a=1}^{\dim} T^a_i T^a_{je} = \dots$  ( $\rightarrow$  exercise for  $SU(n)$ )

### Representations and Lie-Algebras:

• we can also consider more general reps  $D: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$  for  $V$  some vector space of  $\dim d$ , that are not necessarily unitary. What we've said remains true:  $D = \exp\left[i \sum_{a=1}^{\dim} \theta^a T^a\right]$ , with the same # of  $T^a$ 's but these are no longer hermitian in general, and are of  $\dim d$ .

\* We distinguish 3 important examples:

the conjugate rep\*:  $D_* = \exp\left[-i \sum_{a=1}^{\dim} \theta^a T_a^*\right]$

$\Rightarrow$  the generators  $-T_a^*$  satisfy the same Lie algebra (which is rep. indep.)

$$[T_a, T_b] = i f_{ab}^c T_c \Leftrightarrow [-T_a^*, -T_b^*] = -i f_{ab}^c T_c^*$$

the adjoint rep (A)

the generators  $(T_a)_b^c = -i f_{ab}^c$  satisfy the same Lie algebra (eq 8.2)

Because  $b, c = 1, \dots, \dim[\mathfrak{g}]$  this is the dimension  $d = \dim[\mathfrak{g}]$  of the rep.  
e.g.  $SU(n) \Rightarrow d = n^2 - 1$

the fundamental rep (F) as before which is  $d = n$  dimensional

for  $(SU)(n)$ ,  $(SO)(n)$ . It is often denoted by  $\mathbf{n}$ .